

YITAI HU (SBN 248085) yhu@akingump.com  
STEVEN D. HEMMINGER (SBN 110665) shemminger@akingump.com  
SEAN DEBRUINE (SBN 168071) sdebruine@akingump.com  
SANG HUI MICHAEL KIM (SBN 203491) mkim@akingump.com  
**AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP**  
Two Palo Alto Square  
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 400  
Palo Alto, CA 94306  
Telephone: 650.838.2000  
Facsimile: 650.838.2001

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
EPISTAR CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

EPISTAR CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING  
COMPANY, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. C 07-5194 CW

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR  
EPISTAR TO RESPOND TO  
PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING  
COMPANY, LLC'S MOTION FOR  
STAY**

PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING  
COMPANY, LLC,

Counterclaim  
Plaintiff,

v.

EPISTAR CORPORATION,

Counterclaim  
Defendant.

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Epistar Corporation (“Epistar”) and  
2 Philips Lumileds Lighting Company, LLC (“Lumileds”) (collectively, the “Parties”)  
3 hereby stipulate to change the briefing schedule for Lumileds’ Motion for Stay.

4 **STIPULATION**

5 WHEREAS, on January 18, 2008, Lumileds filed a notice of motion for stay of  
6 this action;

7 WHEREAS, on January 31, 2008, Lumileds filed a memorandum in support of its  
8 motion for stay, in accordance with Local Rule 7-2(a);

9 WHEREAS, by Order issued on January 29, 2008, the Court vacated the hearing  
10 for Lumileds’ motion for stay and set the deadline for opposition to the motion for  
11 February 14, 2008;

12 WHEREAS, it is a holiday week in Taiwan and Epistar’s counsel needs additional  
13 time to confer with the client regarding its response to Lumileds’ motion for stay;

14 WHEREAS, the Parties, acting through their counsel, have met and conferred  
15 regarding Epistar’s request for an extension of time for Epistar to file its opposition to  
16 Lumileds’ Motion for Stay; and

17 WHEREAS, the only previous modification of deadlines in this case was the 30-  
18 day extension given to Lumileds to respond to Epistar’s Complaint;

19 NOW THEREFORE,

20 The Parties, acting by and through their counsel of record, stipulate that Epistar’s  
21 response to Lumileds’ Motion for Stay is due on Friday, February 15, 2008 and  
22 Lumileds’ Reply is due on Friday, February 22, 2008.

1 Dated: February 14, 2008 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

2  
3 By /s/  
4 Lenny Huang

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
6 EPISTAR CORPORATION

7 Dated: February 14, 2008 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

8  
9 By /s/  
Amy Spicer

10 Attorneys for Defendant  
11 Philips Lumileds Lighting Company, LLC

12 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I, Lenny  
13 Huang, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from  
14 Amy Spicer.

15 Dated: February 14, 2008 /s/  
16 Lenny Huang

17  
18  
19 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

20  
21 Dated: February \_\_\_\_, 2008 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Honorable Claudia Wilken  
23 United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28